

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/784,227	YAMAMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BJ Forman	1634	

**All Participants:**

(1) BJ Forman.

(2) Juan Carlos Marquez.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) Jennifer Teng.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 February 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

4-5

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Amendments were discussed to overcome 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> ¶ issues, to more clearly define the invention, and to place the claims in condition for allowance. The amendments were agreed upon and are made by Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**BJ FORMAN, PH.D.**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)